ON A FINITE RANGE DECOMPOSITION OF THE RESOLVENT OF A FRACTIONAL POWER OF THE LAPLACIAN

P. K. Mitter

Laboratoire Charles Coulomb
CNRS-Université Montpellier- UMR5221
Place E. Bataillon, Case 070, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 05 France

e-mail: Pronob.Mitter@umontpellier.fr

arXiv:1512.02877v3 [math-ph] 2 Jan 2016

Abstract: We prove the existence as well as regularity of a finite range decomposition for the resolvent $G_\alpha(x-y,m^2) = ((-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + m^2)^{-1}(x-y)$, for $0 < \alpha < 2$ and all real m, in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ as well as in the continuum $\mathbb{R}^d$ for dimension $d \geq 2$. This resolvent occurs as the covariance of the Gaussian measure underlying weakly self- avoiding walks with long range jumps (stable Lévy walks) as well as continuous spin ferromagnets with long range interactions in the long wavelength or field theoretic approximation. The finite range decomposition should be useful for the rigorous analysis of both critical and off-critical renormalisation group trajectories. The decomposition for the special case $m = 0$ was known and used earlier in the renormalisation group analysis of critical trajectories for the above models below the critical dimension $d_c = 2\alpha$.

1. Introduction

Let G be a positive definite distribution or function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We say that G has a *finite range* decomposition as a sum of functions, called *fluctuation covariances*,

$$G = \sum \Gamma_j \tag{1.1}$$

if the following conditions are met:

1. *Positive Definiteness*: The functions Γ_j are positive definite.

2. *Finite Range*: For some integer L with $L > 1$ the Γ_j have finite range:

$$\Gamma_j(x) = 0 : \ |x| \geq L^j \tag{1.2}$$

We will also require an additional property very useful in applications:

3. *Regularity* : The functions Γ_j are sufficiently differentiable and satisfy uniform bounds. This property can be appropriately defined for lattice functions.

There is as yet no general classification of positive definite functions/distributions for which all three properties listed above hold. However the situation is better when we come to the Green's function or resolvent of selfadjoint elliptic operators which can be defined by Dirichlet forms. The simplest example is the resolvent of the laplacian

$$G(x-y,m^2) = (-\Delta + m^2)^{-1}(x-y) \tag{1.3}$$

Here Δ is the usual laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^d$ or the lattice laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. It was proved by Brydges, Guadagni and Mitter in [BGM] that in this case a finite range decomposition in the above sense holds on the lattice and the continuum. Moreover various convergence theorems were proved in [BGM] with further developments by Brydges and Mitter in [BM]. Brydges and Talarczyk gave in [BT] partial results for finite range decompositions of Green's functions of quite general elliptic operators (including higher order operators as well as variable coefficients) defined by Dirichlet forms. Properties 1) and 2) above were proved whereas property 3) (regularity) was proved for only the simplest elliptic operators with constant coefficients like the laplacian. Adams, Kotecký and Müller [AKM] extended the results in [BGM] and [BT] to discrete second order elliptic systems with constant coefficients defined by Dirichlet forms and proved regularity of their decomposition. All these papers, beginning with [BGM], use an averaging procedure using Poisson kernels to derive finite range decompositions. On the other hand Bauerschmidt [Bau] has given a different and novel theory which exploits the finite propagation speed for hyperbolic systems in order to obtain finite range decompositions, including regularity estimates, for Green's functions of elliptic operators, including elliptic systems and variable coefficients, defined by Dirichlet forms.

Let now α be a real number such that $0 < \alpha < 2$. Define the resolvent

$$G_\alpha(x-y,m^2) = ((-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + m^2)^{-1}(x-y) \tag{1.4}$$

This is, amongst other things, the resolvent of a (stable) Lévy walk, α being the Lévy-Khintchine parameter with m^2 being the inverse of the killing time of the walk. But it also appears in other contexts which we will explain later. When $m = 0$, the Green's function $G_\alpha(x-y;0)$ has the convergent integral representation for $0 < \alpha < 2$

$$G_\alpha(x-y,0) = \frac{\sin \pi\frac{\alpha}{2}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty ds\, s^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} G(x-y,s) \tag{1.5}$$

where on the right hand side $G(\cdot, s)$ is the resolvent of the laplacian as in (1.3). This can be verified by Fourier transforms and change of variables (see Lemma 2.2 below).

Remark: Notice that $G_\alpha(x-y,0)$ is well defined both in the lattice and in the continuum for $d \geq 2$ provided $0 < \alpha < 2$. It is also well defined for $d = 1$ if we restrict α to the range $0 < \alpha < 1$. With these restrictions $G_\alpha(x-y,0)$ is a distribution in the continuum.

By substituting in (1.5) the known finite range decomposition for $G(x-y,s)$ we obtain as in [BGM] the finite range decomposition for $G_\alpha(x-y,0)$ with the requisite regularity properties. The question is what happens if $m \neq 0$. Is there a finite range decomposition with requisite regularity properties for $G_\alpha(x-y,m^2)$ for $m \neq 0$? In this paper we will show that the answer is in the affirmative. We will explain later why this might be interesting apart from its intrinsic mathematical interest.

The following Theorem holds both in the continuum $\mathbb{R}^d$ as well as in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$. However it will be most useful when used in lattice Renormalisation Group (RG) analysis. Therefore for definiteness we will work with the lattice Laplacian $\Delta = \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$.

The theorem will be first stated in a form using rescaled fluctuation covariances, the unrescaled version will then appear as a corollary. Both versions are useful.

Theorem 1.1:

Let $L = 3^p$, $p \geq 2$. Let $\varepsilon_j = L^{-j}$, $j \geq 0$. Let $G_\alpha(x-y,m^2)$ be defined as in (1.4). Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $d \geq 2$. Let

$$[\varphi] = \frac{d-\alpha}{2} \tag{1.6}$$

Let m be any real number. Then there exist positive definite functions

$$\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2) : (\varepsilon_j \mathbb{Z})^d \to \mathbb{R} \tag{1.7}$$

of finite range

$$\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(x, m^2) = 0 : \ |x| \geq L \tag{1.8}$$

such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$G_\alpha(x-y,m^2) = \sum_{j \geq 0} L^{-2j[\varphi]} \, \Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\frac{x-y}{L^j}, L^{j\alpha} m^2) \tag{1.9}$$

We have the regularity bounds, for all $j \geq q \geq 0$, and all $p \geq 0$,

$$||\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j} \Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2)||_{L^\infty((\varepsilon_q \mathbb{Z})^d)} \leq c_{p,\alpha}(1+m^2)^{-2} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\partial_{\varepsilon_j} = \partial_{\varepsilon_j, e_k}, k = 1, .., d$ is a forward lattice partial derivative with increment ε_j and in any particular direction e_k in the lattice $(\varepsilon_j \mathbb{Z})^d$. Moreover $\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j}$ is a multi-derivative of order

p defined as in the continuum but now with lattice forward derivatives. $e_1, ..., e_d$ are unit vectors which give the orientation of $\mathbb{R}^d$ as well as the orientation of all embedded lattices $(\varepsilon_j \mathbb{Z})^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. By construction the lattices are nested in an obvious way. The constant $c_{p,\alpha}$ depends on p, α but is independent of L. It depends implicitly on the dimension d.

Moreover there exist C^∞ positive definite continuum functions $\Gamma_{c_*,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, of finite range L, such that as $j \to \infty$ we have

$$\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j} \Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2) \to \partial^p_c \Gamma_{c_*,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2) \tag{1.11}$$

in $L^\infty((\varepsilon_q \mathbb{Z})^d)$, for all $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$. The convergence is exponentially fast:

$$||\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j} \Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2) - \partial^p_c \Gamma_{c_*,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2)||_{L^\infty((\varepsilon_q \mathbb{Z})^d)} \leq c_{p,\alpha}(1+m^2)^{-2} L^{-\frac{j}{2}} \tag{1.12}$$

In the above ∂^p_c is the continuum multiple partial derivative in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in the same directions as in the multiple lattice partial derivative $\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j}$. The above statement has a transcription using Fourier transforms as shown in the convergence proof given in [BM].

The finite range decomposition (1.9) and the regularity bounds (1.10) of Theorem 1.1 have the following immediate corollary using unrescaled fluctuation covariances:

Corollary 1.2 :

There is a finite range decomposition for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$G_\alpha(x-y, m^2) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{\Gamma}_{j,\alpha}(x-y, m^2) \tag{1.13}$$

where the positive definite functions $\tilde{\Gamma}_{j,\alpha}(x, m^2)$ of finite range L^{j+1} are defined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{j,\alpha}(x, m^2) = L^{-2j[\varphi]}\, \Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\frac{x}{L^j}, L^{j\alpha} m^2) \tag{1.14}$$

and satisfy the regularity bounds

$$||\partial^p_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \tilde{\Gamma}_{j,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq c_{p,\alpha}(1+L^{j\alpha}m^2)^{-2} L^{-(2j[\varphi]+pj)} \tag{1.15}$$

The proof of this theorem is given in the following sections.

There have been many applications in recent years of finite range decompositions, mostly in the context of the mathematical analysis of Wilson's Renormalization Group [W] used to study non-linear perturbations of Gaussian measures and their scaling and continuum limits (see some of the references cited below). Since the resolvent as well as the summands in the finite range decomposition are positive definite they qualify as covariances of Gaussian measures. Correspondingly we have a decomposition of a Gaussian random

field as a sum of independent gaussian random fields known as fluctuation fields. Because of the finite range property the fluctuation fields become uncorrelated beyond a certain finite distance. This enables us to get rid of the machinery of cluster expansions in the control of the fluctuation integration which is an essential step in RG analysis and brings us closer to hierarchical models.

In the RG approach to scaling and continuum limits the control of the critical RG trajectory is paramount. Although the finite range expansion of the resolvent is very useful, only the case $m = 0$ is strictly necessary. In fact this suffices for the analysis leading to the existence of the critical parameters and the proof of existence of the stable manifold. The asymptotic properties of critical correlation functions lead to corresponding critical exponents. However in order to control critical exponents like that for the susceptibility, correlation length or specific heat for ferromagnetic systems or self-avoiding walks we need to consider also off-critical trajectories. This is a mass perturbation of the critical trajectory. In fact what is needed is that the mass perturbation be small with respect to the critical trajectory (in the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson picture the square of the mass is the temperature and we are approaching the critical temperature which is the critical mass squared). However in intermediate RG steps it is convenient to have the mass as part of the covariance of the underlying gaussian measure. In order to carry out the RG analysis we would need a finite range decomposition of resolvents when the resolvent mass $m \neq 0$. In the case of self-avoiding simple walks (SAWs) in the critical dimension $d = 4$ or the classical continuous n-component spin system with short range interaction in the critical dimension $d = 4$ (the so called n-component φ^4_4 model), the known finite range decompositions [BGM, Bau] of the resolvent (1.3) play an important role in the rigorous renormalisation group analysis successfully accomplished by Bauerschmidt, Brydges and Slade in [B, BBS1 ,BBS2] and multiple references therein. In particular the logarithmic corrections to mean field critical behaviour, known in the theoretical physics literature for a very long time, were successfully obtained for the susceptibility. Another application of the finite range decomposition of [BGM] is in the late Pierluigi Falco's important papers on the Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition [F1, F2]. Furthermore, Dimock [D] used finite range decompositions in his RG proof of the infinite volume limit for the dipole gas. Of course the use of finite range decompositions is not indispensable. For example, the same logarithmic corrections were rigorously derived earlier in the RG framework of Gawedzki and Kupiainen [GK] by Hara [H] and Hara and Tasaki [HT] in the case of infrared φ^4_4 with scalar ($n = 1$) φ.

However there is another class of problems where the underlying (or unperturbed) Gaussian process has as covariance the resolvent (1.4). Examples are weakly self avoiding walks with long range jumps with the jump distribution given by the Lévy- Khintchine formula or continuous spin ferromagnets with long range interaction in the long wave length approximation. For $0 < \alpha < 2$ and dimension $d < 4$ the upper critical dimension in these

cases is $d_c = 2\alpha$, as shown by Aizenman and Fernandez [AF]. Thus for $d < d_c$, $\varepsilon = 2\alpha - d$ can be taken to be a small parameter. Exploiting this fact, the critical RG trajectories below the critical dimension have been controlled in the field theoretic version of long range ferromagnets in [BMS, A] in the continuum , and for the self avoiding case in the lattice in [MS] leading in both cases to non-trivial RG fixed points. An introductory review of RG analysis of critical long range ferromagnets below the critical dimension and their continuum limits can be found in [M]. The elementary spin fields have classical critical exponents dictated by the unperturbed Gaussian measure. The existence of an anomalous critical exponent of a composite spin field is proved in a hierarchical version of [BMS] in [ACG]. In [MS] only the $m = 0$ case of Theorem 1.1 was exploited. However, as mentioned earlier, in order to control the critical exponents such as those for the susceptibility, correlation length (defined appropriately for long range systems) or the specific heat we need to consider off-critical RG trajectories. Whence the need for the finite range decomposition including the case $m \neq 0$ given by Theorem 1.1 and its Corollary 1.2. In the sequel to this paper we will prove for the case $m \neq 0$ the existence and regularity of a finite range decomposition on the lattice torus $\mathbb{Z}^d/L^N\mathbb{Z}$ as well as the continuum torus $\mathbb{R}^d/L^N\mathbb{Z}$ for $d \geq 2$. We plan to return to the topic of off-critical RG tajectories and critical exponents in subsequent work.

2. An Integral Representation

The proof of Theorem 1.1, which will be given in the following section, will make use of an integral representation for the resolvent (1.4) valid for all real m the resolvent parameter.

Let

$$t = -\hat{\Delta}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(k) \geq 0 \tag{2.1}$$

where $\hat{\Delta}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ is the Fourier transform of the lattice Laplacian in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $k \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$.
Define

$$f_\alpha(t, m^2) = (t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + m^2)^{-1} \tag{2.2}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 2$ and m is any real parameter.

Proposition 2.1

The function $f_\alpha(t, m^2)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, with the restrictions $t \geq 0$ if $m \neq 0$ and $t > 0$, if $m^2 \geq 0$ satisfies the following integral representation:

$$f_\alpha(t, m^2) = \int_0^\infty ds \, \frac{1}{s+t} \, \rho_\alpha(s, m^2) \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\rho_\alpha(s,m^2) = \frac{\sin \pi\alpha/2}{\pi}\, \frac{s^{\alpha/2}}{s^\alpha + m^4 + 2m^2 s^{\alpha/2}\cos \pi\alpha/2} \tag{2.4}$$

We have the bound

$$0 \le \rho_\alpha(s,m^2) \le c_\alpha\, \frac{s^{\alpha/2}}{s^\alpha + m^4} \tag{2.5}$$

where the constant

$$c_\alpha = \frac{\sin \pi\alpha/2}{\pi}\, \frac{1}{1 - |\cos \pi\alpha/2|} > 0 \tag{2.6}$$

is finite for all α such that $0 < \alpha < 2$. The integral in (2.3) converges uniformly in m. For $m = 0$ and $t > 0$ we recover the representation

$$f_\alpha(t,0) = \frac{\sin \pi\alpha/2}{\pi}\, \int_0^\infty ds\, s^{-\alpha/2} \frac{1}{s+t} \tag{2.7}$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 will be obtained through a sequence of lemmas based on elementary complex analysis.

Lemma 2.2

Let λ be a complex parameter. Let $t > 0$. Then $t^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ is entire analytic in λ. For $0 < Re(\lambda) < 2$ and $t > 0$ we have the convergent integral representation

$$\frac{1}{t^{\lambda/2}} = \frac{\sin \pi\lambda/2}{\pi}\, \int_0^\infty ds\, s^{-\lambda/2} \frac{1}{s+t} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof : We evaluate the integral

$$\int_0^\infty ds\, s^{-\lambda/2} \frac{1}{s+t} = t^{-\lambda/2} \int_0^\infty ds\, s^{-\lambda/2} \frac{1}{s+1} == t^{-\lambda/2}\, B(1-\lambda/2, \lambda/2)$$

where

$$B(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$$

is the Euler Beta function. Thus

$$B(1-\lambda/2, \lambda/2) = \Gamma(1-\lambda/2)\Gamma(\lambda/2) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi\lambda/2}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty ds\, s^{-\lambda/2} \frac{1}{s+t} = t^{-\lambda/2}\, \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi\lambda/2}$$

which proves Lemma 2.2. ∎

We want a spectral representation which partially lifts the restriction to $0 < Re(\lambda) < 2$ in Lemma 2.2 above. To this end we will give, in the next Lemma, a complex integral representation for $t^{-\lambda/2}$ which will provide an analytic continuation in λ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(\lambda) > 0$.

Consider the complex s-plane and the region

$$\mathcal{D} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid Re(s) > -t,\ |\arg(-s)| \leq \pi,\ t > 0\} \tag{2.9}$$

Define $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ to be the following contour: It starts at $+\infty$, goes counter clockwise parallel to the real axis with $\arg(-s) = -\pi$ in the upper half s-plane, circles the origin counter clockwise, and then goes out to $+\infty$ parallel to the real axis with $\arg(-s) = \pi$ in the lower half plane. On the circle $-s = \delta e^{i\theta}, -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ with $\delta < t$. This is illustrated below with $\rho \to \infty$.



The contour C

Remark: The reader will be familiar with this as the Hankel contour used for the analytic continuation of the Euler Gamma function or that in Riemann's representation of the Zeta function, see e.g. Whittaker and Watson [WW] . We have followed the same phase conventions.

Lemma 2.3:

For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $Re(\lambda) > 0$ we have the integral representation:

$$t^{-\lambda/2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\, (-s)^{-\lambda/2} \frac{1}{s+t} \tag{2.10}$$

which provides the analytic continuation of (2.8) of Lemma 2.2.

Proof:
Let λ be real and $0 < \lambda < 2$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the contour defined above in the complex s-plane. We have:

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\, (-s)^{-\lambda/2} \frac{1}{s+t} = I_{1,\delta} + I_{2,\delta} + I_{3,\delta}$$

where

$$I_{1,\delta} = \int_{+\infty}^{\delta} ds\, e^{i\pi\lambda/2} \frac{s^{-\lambda/2}}{s+t}$$

$$I_{2,\delta} = \int_{\delta}^{\infty} ds\, e^{-i\pi\lambda/2} \frac{s^{-\lambda/2}}{s+t}$$

$$I_{3,\delta} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta\, i\delta\, e^{i\theta} \frac{(\delta e^{i\theta})^{-\lambda/2}}{t + \delta e^{i\theta}}$$

We have

$$I_{1,\delta} + I_{2,\delta} = -2i \sin \pi\lambda/2 \int_{\delta}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{s^{-\lambda/2}}{s+t}$$

Note that the integral $I_{3,\delta} \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$ since $t > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 2$, whereas

$$I_{1,\delta} + I_{2,\delta} \to -2i \sin \pi\lambda/2 \int_{0}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{s^{-\lambda/2}}{s+t}$$

which converges. Therefore

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\, (-s)^{-\lambda/2} \frac{1}{s+t} = -2i \sin \pi\lambda/2 \int_{0}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{s^{-\lambda/2}}{s+t}$$

which proves Lemma 2.3. ∎

Lemma 2.4

Let α be restricted to the interval $0 < \alpha < 2$ and let $t > 0$. Then for all real m we have

$$f_\alpha(t, m^2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\, \frac{1}{s+t}\, \frac{1}{(-s)^{\alpha/2} + m^2} \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$f_\alpha(t, m^2) = (t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + m^2)^{-1}$$

is the function defined in (2.2) and $\mathcal{C}$ is the contour of Lemma 2.3.

Proof:

Let z be a complex variable. Then for $t > 0$ the function

$$f_\alpha(t,z) = (t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + z)^{-1} \tag{2.12}$$

is analytic in the half plane $z \in \mathbb{C},\ Re(z) \geq 0$. For $|z| < t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ the series

$$f_\alpha(t,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n\ z^n\ (\frac{1}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}})^{n+1} \tag{2.13}$$

converges absolutely. Using the integral representation (2.10) of Lemma 2.3 with the contour $\mathcal{C}$ defined therein we get

$$t^{-(n+1)\alpha/2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\ (-s)^{-(n+1)\alpha/2}\frac{1}{s+t} \tag{2.14}$$

We insert the representation (2.14) in the series (2.13) and interchange sum and integration by virtue of uniform convergence to get

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(t,z) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\ \frac{(-s)^{-\alpha/2}}{s+t}\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\ z^n(-s)^{-n\alpha/2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\ \frac{(-s)^{-\alpha/2}}{s+t}\ \frac{1}{1+z(-s)^{-\alpha/2}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\ \frac{1}{s+t}\ \frac{1}{(-s)^{\alpha/2}+z} \end{aligned}$$

Now using analyticity in z we have for $z = m^2$

$$f_\alpha(t,m^2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}} ds\ \frac{1}{s+t}\ \frac{1}{(-s)^{\alpha/2}+m^2} \tag{2.15}$$

which proves Lemma 2.4. ∎

Remark: If we were to take $\alpha = 2$ in (2.1) of Lemma 2.4 (which is permitted by convergence) then evaluating by Cauchy's theorem the contribution of the pole at $s = m^2$ gives back $(t+m^2)^{-1}$. The pole at $s = -t$ is excluded by the definition of the contour $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1:

We will prove the proposition by evaluating the contour integral in (2.15) of Lemma 2.4 along the lines of the proof of Lemma 2.3 with α being restricted to the interval $0 < \alpha < 2$. As before the contribution of the circle of radius δ vanishes as $\delta \to 0$. The evaluation of the discontinuity across the cut gives:

$$f_\alpha(t,m^2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{1}{s+t}\left(-\frac{1}{e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}s^{\alpha/2}+m^2}+\frac{1}{e^{i\pi\alpha/2}s^{\alpha/2}+m^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{1}{s+t}\,\frac{(e^{i\pi\alpha/2}-e^{-i\pi\alpha/2})s^{\alpha/2}}{s^\alpha+m^4+2m^2s^{\alpha/2}\cos\pi\alpha/2}$$

whence we obtain the integral representation of Proposition 2.1

$$f_\alpha(t,m^2) = \int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{1}{s+t}\,\rho_\alpha(s,m^2)$$

where

$$\rho_\alpha(s,m^2) = \frac{\sin\pi\alpha/2}{\pi}\,\frac{s^{\alpha/2}}{s^\alpha+m^4+2m^2\,s^{\alpha/2}\cos\pi\alpha/2}$$

Clearly $\rho_\alpha(s,m^2)\geq 0$. We will now prove the upper bound stated in the Proposition. Let

$$d_\alpha(s,m^2) = s^\alpha+m^4+2m^2\,s^{\alpha/2}\cos\pi\alpha/2$$

be the denominator in the above formula for the spectral weight ρ_α. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha(s,m^2) &\geq s^\alpha+m^4-2m^2\,s^{\alpha/2}|\cos\pi\alpha/2| \\ &\geq s^\alpha+m^4-(m^4+s^\alpha)|\cos\pi\alpha/2| \\ &\geq c'_\alpha(m^4+s^\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c'_\alpha = 1-|\cos\pi\alpha/2| > 0$$

since $0<\alpha<2$. Hence we obtain the bound for the spectral weight

$$0\leq\rho_\alpha(s,m^2)\leq c_\alpha\frac{s^{\alpha/2}}{m^4+s^\alpha}$$

where

$$c_\alpha = \frac{\sin\pi\alpha/2}{\pi}\frac{1}{1-|\cos\pi\alpha/2|} > 0$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is complete. ∎

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof reposes on Proposition 2.1 and results already obtained in [BGM, BM, Bau]. We summarise first only what we need from [BGM] and [BM]. In particular a summary is given in [BM]. It was proved in [BGM] that the resolvent of the Laplacian in $\mathbb{Z}^d$

$$G(x-y,s) = (-\Delta + s)^{-1}(x-y) \tag{3.1}$$

with $d \geq 3$ and $s \geq 0$ satisfies the finite range expansion

$$G(x-y,s) = \sum_{j \geq 0} L^{-j(d-2)}\, \Gamma_j(\frac{x-y}{L^j},\, L^{2j}s) \tag{3.2}$$

where the summands Γ_j

$$\Gamma_j : (\varepsilon_j \mathbb{Z})^d \to \mathbb{R} \tag{3.3}$$

are positive definite, of finite range L and satisfy for all integers $j \geq q \geq 0$ and all integers $p \geq 0$ the bounds

$$||\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j} \Gamma_j(\cdot, s)||_{L^\infty((\varepsilon_q \mathbb{Z})^d)} \leq c_p e^{-cs^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\varepsilon_j = L^{-j}$. The lattice derivatives are as defined after (1.10) and the constant c in the exponent is of $O(1)$ and independent of L, j, q, p. The constant c_p depends on p but is independent j, q. It can be verified that the constant c_p is actually independent of L. They depend on the dimension d. This bound is the content of Theorem 5.5 of [BGM] together with lattice Sobolev embedding, the improvement to an exponential bound is from the remark in Appendix A of [BGM].

There exist positive definite C^∞ functions $\Gamma_{c*}(\cdot, s)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of finite range L such that

$$||\partial^p_c \Gamma_{c*}(\cdot, s)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_p e^{-cs^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{3.5}$$

where ∂^p_c are continuum partial derivatives of order p. Moreover we have exponential convergence:

$$||\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j} \Gamma_j(\cdot, s) - \partial^p_c \Gamma_{c*}(\cdot, s)||_{L^\infty((\varepsilon_q \mathbb{Z})^d)} \leq c_p e^{-cs^{\frac{1}{2}}} L^{-\frac{j}{2}} \tag{3.6}$$

and the continuum partial derivatives are taken in the same directions as the lattice partial derivatives. This is Corollary 2.2 of [BM].

Remark 1: The finite range expansion (3.2) together with the bounds (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) remain valid in $d = 2$ provided $s > 0$. This is easily seen from [BGM] and [BM].

Remark 2: Similar results are due to Bauerschmidt [Bau] by different methods. The convergence rate is L^{-j} instead of $L^{-\frac{j}{2}}$ as above. This would give the same convergence rate in Theorem 1.1.

We insert the finite range decomposition of (3.2) in the Fourier transform of (2.3) of Proposition 2.1 to get

$$G_\alpha(x-y,m^2)=\sum_{j\geq 0}L^{-j(d-2)}\int_0^\infty ds\,\rho_\alpha(s,m^2)\,\Gamma_j(\frac{x-y}{L^j},\,L^{2j}s)$$

the interchange of sum and integral being permitted by virtue of the bounds in (3.4) above and in (2.5) of Proposition 2.1. After change of variables (rescaling in s) we get

$$G_\alpha(x-y,m^2)=\sum_{j\geq 0}L^{-2j[\varphi]}\int_0^\infty ds\,\rho_{\alpha,j}(s,m^2)\,\Gamma_j(\frac{x-y}{L^j},\,s) \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$[\varphi]=\frac{d-\alpha}{2}$$

and

$$\rho_{\alpha,j}(s,m^2)=\frac{1}{L^{j\alpha}}\,\rho_\alpha(\frac{s}{L^{2j}},m^2)$$

Explicit computation using the expression (2.4) of Proposition 2.1 now gives

$$\rho_{\alpha,j}(s,m^2)=\rho_\alpha(s,L^{j\alpha}m^2) \tag{3.8}$$

Define the functions

$$\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot,\,m^2):\,(\varepsilon_j\mathbb{Z})^d\to\mathbb{R}$$

by

$$\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot,\,m^2)=\int_0^\infty ds\,\rho_\alpha(s,\,m^2)\,\Gamma_j(\cdot,\,s) \tag{3.9}$$

Note that the functions $\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot,\,m^2)$ are positive definite and of finite range L because of the known properties of Γ_j stated after (3.3). They are well defined because of the bounds (3.4) above and (2.5) of Proposition 2.1.
From (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) we get the desired finite range decomposition

$$G_\alpha(x-y,m^2)=\sum_{j\geq 0}L^{-2j[\varphi]}\,\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\frac{x-y}{L^j},\,L^{j\alpha}m^2) \tag{3.10}$$

stated in (1.9) of Theorem 1.1.

We will now prove the bounds (1.10) and (1.12) of Theorem 1.1.
From (3.8), and the bounds (3.4) and (2.5) we get

$$||\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j}\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot,m^2)||_{L^\infty((\varepsilon_q\mathbb{Z})^d)}\leq c_p c_\alpha\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{s^{\alpha/2}}{s^\alpha+m^4}\,e^{-cs^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{3.11}$$

Consider the integral on the right hand side of (3.11)

$$F(m,L) = \int_0^\infty ds\, \frac{s^{\alpha/2}}{s^\alpha + m^4}\, e^{-cs^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{3.12}$$

as a function of m. Note that the integral converges uniformly in m for $0 < \alpha < 2$. F is a continuous monotonic increasing function of m^2 as m^2 decreases and for $m = 0$ we have

$$F(0,L) = \int_0^\infty ds\, s^{-\alpha/2}\, e^{-cs^{\frac{1}{2}}} = c_{1,\alpha} \tag{3.13}$$

which is a constant of $O(1)$. For $m \neq 0$ we have

$$F(m,L) \leq m^{-4} \int_0^\infty ds\, s^{\alpha/2}\, e^{-cs^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c_{2,\alpha} m^{-4} \tag{3.14}$$

where $c_{2,\alpha}$ is a constant of $O(1)$. By continuity, there exists a constant $c_{3,\alpha}$ independent of m, L such that

$$F(m,L) \leq c_{3,\alpha}\, (1+m^4)^{-1} \leq 2c_{3,\alpha}\, (1+m^2)^{-2} \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.11) and (3.15) we get

$$||\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j} \Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2)||_{L^\infty((\varepsilon_q \mathbb{Z})^d)} \leq c_{p,\alpha}\, (1+m^2)^{-2} \tag{3.16}$$

which proves (1.10) of Theorem 1.1.

The proof of (3.17) and (3.18) goes along similar lines. The continuum positive definite functions $\Gamma_{c*,\alpha}(\cdot,\, m^2)$ of finite range L are defined by

$$\Gamma_{c*,\alpha}(\cdot,\, m^2) = \int_0^\infty ds\, \rho_\alpha(s,\, m^2)\, \Gamma_{c*}(\cdot,\, s) \tag{3.19}$$

Using the bound (3.5) and the bound (2.5) of Proposition 2.1 we get following the same chain of arguments as before the bound

$$||\partial^p_c \Gamma_{c*,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_{p,\alpha}\, (1+m^2)^{-2} \tag{3.20}$$

Now

$$\Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot,\, m^2) - \Gamma_{c*,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2) = \int_0^\infty ds\, \rho_\alpha(s,\, m^2)\, (\Gamma_j(\cdot,\, s) - \Gamma_{c*}(\cdot,\, s)) \tag{3.21}$$

whence we get using the bounds (2.5) and (3.6) and following the earlier arguments

$$||\partial^p_{\varepsilon_j} \Gamma_{j,\alpha}(\cdot, m^2) - \partial^p_c \Gamma_{c*}(\cdot, m^2)||_{L^\infty((\varepsilon_q \mathbb{Z})^d)} \leq c_{p,\alpha}\, (1+m^2)^{-2}\, L^{-\frac{j}{2}} \tag{3.22}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. ∎

Acknowledgement The author thanks André Neveu for very helpful conversations which led to the proof of Proposition 2.1 and Jérome Dorignac for supplying the figure. He thanks David Brydges for comments and Gordon Slade for his interest.

References

[A] A. Abdesselam: A Complete Renermalization Group Trajectory Between Two Fixed Points, Commun. Math. Phys. (2007) **276** 727-772

[AF] : Aizenman and Fernandez: Critical Exponents for Long Range Interactions, Lett.Math.Phys. (1988) **16** 39-49

[ACG] A. Abdesselam, A. Chandra and G. Guadagni: Rigorous Quantum Field Theory Functional Integrals over the p-Adics **1**: Anomalous Dimensions, Preprint 2013 http://arxiv.org/pdf/1302.5971.pdf

[AKM] Stefan Adams, Roman Kotecký and Stefan Müller: Finite range range decomposition for families of gradient Gaussian measures, J. Funct. Anal. (2013) **264** 169-206

[B] Roland Bauerschmidt: A simple method for finite range decomposition of quadratic forms and Gaussian fields, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2013) **157**: 817-845

[B] David Brydges: The Renormalisation Group and Self-Avoiding Walk: In: Random Walks, Random Fields and Disordered Systems, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (2015) **2144** 65-116

[BBS1] Roland Bauerschmidt, David C. Brydges and Gordon Slade: Logarithmic Correction for the Susceptibility of the 4-Dimensional Weakly Self-Avoiding Walk: A Renormalisation Group Analysis, Commun. Math. Phys. (2015) **337**: 817-877

[BBS2] Roland Bauerschmidt, David C. Brydges and Gordon Slade: Scaling Limits and Critical Behaviour of the n-component $|\phi|^4$ Spin Model, J. Stat. Phys. (2014) **157**: 692-742

[BGM] D. Brydges, G. Guadagni and P. K. Mitter: Finite range Decomposition of Gaussian Processes, J. Stat. Phys. (2004) **115**: 415–449

[BM] David C Brydges and P. K. Mitter: On the Convergence to the Continuum of Finite Range Lattice Covariances, J. Stat. Phys. (2012) **147**: 716-727

[BMS] D. C. Brydges, P. K. Mitter and B. Scoppola: Critical $\phi^4_{3,\varepsilon}$, Commun.Math. Phys. (2003) **240**: 281-327

[BT] D. Brydges and A. Talarczyk: Finite Range Decomposition of Positive Definite Func-

tions, J Funct Anal (2006) **236**: 682-711

[D] J. Dimock: Infinite Volume Limit for the Dipole Gas, J. Stat. Phys. (2009) **135**: 393-427

[F1] Pierluigi Falco: Kosterlitz-Thouless Transition Line for the Two Dimensional Coulomb Gas, Commun. Math. Phys. (2013) **312**: 559-609

[F2] Pierluigi Falco: Critical Exponents of the Two Dimensional Coulomb Gas at the Berezinki- Kosterlitz- Thouless Transition, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1311.2237v2 (2013)

[GK] K. Gawedzki and A. Kupiainen: Massless φ^4_4: Rigorous control of a renormalizable asymptotically free model, Commun. Math. Phys. (1985) **99**: 197-252

[M] P. K. Mitter: Long Range Ferromagnets: Renormalisation Group Analysis, LPTHE-Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, 24.10.2013. Slides of lecture available at:
`https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/cel-01239463`

[MS] P. K. Mitter and B. Scoppola: The Global Renormalization Group Trajectory in a Critical Supersymmetric Field Theory on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$, J. Stat. Phys. (2008) **133**: 921-1011

[W] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut: Renormalization Group and the ε expansion Phys. Rep. C (1974) **12**: 75-200

[WW] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson: A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (1963): 244-245